

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL BOARD OF THE FOOTBALL  
ASSOCIATION

BETWEEN

WINSFORD UNITED FC

Appellant

and

THE FA LEAGUES COMMITTEE

Respondent

---

DECISION AND WRITTEN REASONS OF THE APPEAL BOARD

---

1. The Appeal Board conducted a hearing on Tuesday, 6 June 2023, to determine an appeal by the Appellant against a decision of the Respondent, dated 15 May 2023.
2. This hearing was conducted by Correspondence.
3. The Appeal Board consisted of Mr Paul Tompkins (Chairperson), Mr Keith Allen, and Ms Laura McCallum. Mr Michael O'Connor, the Lead Judicial Services Officer, acted as Secretary to the Appeal Board.

**The Hearing**

4. The Respondent, on 15 May 2023, notified the Appellant of their decision that the Appellant was to be laterally moved from the North West Counties League Premier Division (NWCP) to the Midland League Premier Division (MPL).
5. The Appeal Board, having taken into account the submissions of the parties and having given the Appeal Bundle careful consideration, noted the following.
6. The Appeal Board thank both parties for the manner in which they made their submissions.

7. The Appeal Board noted that the Appellant was appealing on the following ground:

*The Respondent came to a decision to which no reasonable such body could have come.*

8. The Appeal Board dismissed the appeal on the ground the decision of the Leagues Committee was one to which a reasonable body could have come.

9. The Appeal Board reached this decision considering the following:

- a. The following is a summary of the primary considerations of the Appeal Board, however the absence in these reasons of any particular point, or submission, should not imply that the Appeal Board did not take such point, or submission, into consideration when it considered the matter and reached its findings.
- b. The Appeal Board considered the ground of appeal, that the Respondent came to a decision to which no reasonable such body could have come. The Appeal Board took careful consideration of the grounds for appeal including geographical location, likely mileage to be travelled in the forthcoming season and the availability of an alternative solution proposed by the Appellant. The Appeal Board reminded itself that it is unable to impose its own preferred solution in such cases and is only empowered by the FA Appeal Regulations to review the original decision of the Respondent. When looking at league allocations objectively, the Appellant finds itself in a location where it is a club on the border of two possible leagues and the Respondent must exercise objective discernment when placing clubs. While there were possible arguments for leaving the Appellant in the NWCP, placing the Appellant club in the MPL was not perverse, irrational or wrong. To do otherwise would have produced a marked imbalance in the respective leagues with 25 teams in the NWCP for the forthcoming season and only 17 teams in the MPL. This imbalance would have affected the integrity of the National League System at Step 5. Therefore the Appeal Board is unable to find that that the allocation of the Appellant to MPL for season 2023-24 is a decision to which no reasonable such body could have come.

10. The Appeal Board considered the matter of costs and decided that there would be no order as to costs.

11. The Appeal Board order that the appeal fee be forfeited.

12. The Appeal Board's decision is final and binding.

**Paul Tompkins (Chair)**

**Keith Allen**

**Laura McCallum**

**8 June 2023**