IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL BOARD OF THE FOOTBALL ASSOCIATION

## BETWEEN

UTTOXETER TOWN FC
Appellant
and
THE FA LEAGUES COMMITTEE
Respondent

DECISION AND WRITTEN REASONS OF THE APPEAL BOARD

1. The Appeal Board conducted a hearing on Monday, 5 June 2023, to determine an appeal by the Appellant against a decision of the Respondent, dated 15 May 2023.
2. This hearing was conducted by Microsoft Teams (video-conferencing).
3. The Appeal Board consisted of Mr Paul Tompkins (Chairperson), Mr Keith Allen, and Mr Glenn Moulton. Mr Conrad Gibbons, the Judicial Services Officer, acted as Secretary to the Appeal Board.
4. The Appellant was represented by the attendance of Mr Mark Grimley, First Team Coach. The Respondent was represented by Mr Mark Ives and, attending as observers, Mr Mark Frost, Mr James Earl and Mr Matt Edkins.

## The Hearing

5. The Respondent, on 15 May 2023, notified the Appellant of their decision that the Appellant was to be allocated to the North West Counties League Division One South (NWCS) following their relegation. The Appellant had sought to be allocated to the Midland League Division One (ML1).
6. The Appeal Board, having taken into account the submissions of the parties and having given the Appeal Bundle careful consideration, noted the following.
7. The Appeal Board thank both parties for the manner in which they made their submissions.
8. The Appeal Board noted that the Appellant was appealing on the following ground(s):
a. Came to a decision to which no reasonable such body could have come.
9. The Appeal Board unanimously dismissed the appeal on this ground.
10. The Appeal Board reached this decision considering the following:
a. The following is a summary of the primary considerations of the Appeal Board, however the absence in these reasons of any particular point, or submission, should not imply that the Appeal Board did not take such point, or submission, into consideration when it considered the matter and reached its findings.
b. On considering the ground of appeal, that the Respondent had come to a decision to which no reasonable such body could have come, the Appeal Board took careful consideration of the Appellant's geographical location, likely mileage to be travelled in the forthcoming season, location of current coaching staff and players and the availability of an alternative solution proposed by the Appellant. The Appeal Board reminded itself that it is unable to impose its own preferred solution in such cases and is only empowered by the FA Appeal Regulations to review the original decision of the Respondent. When looking at league allocations objectively, the Appellant finds itself in a location where it is a club on the border of two possible leagues and the Respondent must exercise objective discernment when placing clubs. Placing the Appellant club in the NWCS was not perverse, irrational or wrong. To do otherwise would have overridden the principle of fairness when applying the Regulations, would have preferred the Appellant's case over other clubs in a similar position and would have produced an imbalance in the respective leagues for the forthcoming season, something contrary to the aims of the National League System. There is already an imbalance between NWCS (18 teams) and ML1 (20 teams) for season 2023-24 and increasing this imbalance would have affected the integrity of the National League System at Step 6 and should be avoided if possible. Therefore the Appeal Board is unable to find that that the allocation of the Appellant
to NWCS for season 2023-24 is a decision to which no reasonable such body could have come.
11. The Appeal Board considered the matter of costs and decided that there would be no order as to costs.
12. The Appeal Board order that the appeal fee forfeited.
13. The Appeal Board's decision is final and binding.

Paul Tompkins (Chair)
Keith Allen
Glenn Moulton
8 June 2023

