

FA NATIONAL SERIOUS CASE PANEL

DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN SITTING ALONE

on behalf of London Football Association and the Amateur Football Alliance

CORRESPONDENCE HEARING

of

TY PRESCOTT

SOUTHWARK DYNAMOS

[Case ID: 11174384M]

and

CELIO DIAS

SOUTHWARK DYNAMOS

[Case ID: 11174390M]

and

SOUTHWARK DYNAMOS

[Case IDs: 11174400M and 11174404M]

and

TTAC

[Case IDs: 11174383M and 11174378M]

THE DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMISSION

Introduction

1. On 19th February 2023, Southwark Dynamos Mens (“the Club”) played a fixture against TTAC (“TTAC”)– collectively the “Match”.
2. The Match Referee, Ms Ibone Fernandez Gonzalez reported the conduct of Mr Ty Prescott (“TP”) and Mr Celio Dias (“CD”) Club players, the Club and TTAC.
3. London Football Association (“London County FA”) investigated the reported incidents.

The Charge

4. On 15th March 2023, London FA charged TP:
 - 4.1. with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E3 – Improper Conduct – Assault by Participant on Participant. It is alleged that Ty Prescott used violent conduct and/or threatening and/or abusive and/or indecent and/or insulting language/behaviour contrary to FA Rule E3.1 and it is further alleged that this constitutes Assault by a Participant on another Participant as defined by FA Regulations. This refers to the allegation that he ran into a melee and struck with his foot/feet a member of the opposition on the floor. This is alleged to have caused injurious that amount to serious harm. Furthermore, it is alleged that this incident contributed to the match being abandoned. (“the 1st Charge”) *alternatively,*
 - 4.2. with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E3 – Improper Conduct (including violent conduct and threatening and/or abusive language/behaviour) contrary to FA Rule E3.1 (“the 2nd Charge”)
5. On 15th March 2023, London FA charged CD:
 - 5.1. with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E3 – Improper Conduct (including threatening and/or abusive language/behaviour) contrary to FA Rule E3.1 Celio Dias is hereby charged with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E3 in respect of the fixture. Having reviewed the evidence presented to the Association, it is deemed that their actions are contrary to FA Rule E3, moreover, that their language was threatening and/or abusive. This refers to the allegation that they threatened to “stab” members of the opposition whilst holding a bag and/or words similar to that effect (“the 3rd Charge”)
6. On 15th March 2023, London FA charged the Club:
 - 6.1. with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E20 – It is alleged that Southwark Dynamos failed to ensure that directors, players, officials, employees, servants, representatives conducted themselves in an orderly fashion whilst attending any match contrary to FA Rule E20.1. This refers to the allegation that associates of the club were engaged in a mass confrontation with members of the opposition in which violent conduct occurred. Furthermore, it is alleged that this incident contributed to the match being abandoned. (“the 4th Charge”) *and*
7. On 15th March 2023, London FA charged the Club:
 - 7.1. with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E21 – It is alleged that Southwark Dynamos failed to ensure that Spectator(s) (and anyone purporting to be its supporters or followers), conducted themselves in an orderly fashion and refrained from any one or combination of the following: improper, violent, threatening, abusive, indecent, insulting or provocative words or behaviour contrary to FA Rule E21.1. This refers to the allegation that spectators of the club were engaged in a mass confrontation with members of the opposition in which

violent conduct occurred. Furthermore, it is alleged that this incident contributed to the match being abandoned (“the 5th Charge”)

8. On 15th March 2023, The Amateur Football Alliance charged TTAC:

8.1 with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E20 – It is alleged that TTAC failed to ensure that directors, players, officials, employees, servants, representatives conducted themselves in an orderly fashion whilst attending any match contrary to FA Rule E20.1. This refers to the allegation that associates of the club were engaged in a mass confrontation with members of the opposition in which violent conduct occurred. Furthermore, it is alleged that this incident contributed to the match being abandoned (“the 6th Charge”) and

9. On 15th March 2023, The Amateur Football Alliance charged TTAC:

9.1. with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E21 – It is alleged that TTAC failed to ensure that Spectator(s) (and anyone purporting to be its supporters or followers), conducted themselves in an orderly fashion and refrained from any one or combination of the following: improper, violent, threatening, abusive, indecent, insulting or provocative words or behaviour contrary to FA Rule E21.1. This refers to the allegation that spectators of the club were engaged in a mass confrontation with members of the opposition in which violent conduct occurred. Furthermore, it is alleged that this incident contributed to the match being abandoned (“the 7th Charge”)

10. The relevant section of FA Rule E3 states:

“E3.1 A Participant shall at all times act in the best interest of the game and shall not act in any manner which is improper or brings the game into disrepute or use any one, or a combination of, violent conduct, serious foul play, threatening, abusive, indecent or insulting words or behaviour.”

11. In respect of the Club and TTAC, the relevant section of FA Rule E20 states:

“E20 Each ...Club shall be responsible for ensuring that its directors, players, officials, employees, servants, representatives, conduct themselves in an orderly fashion whilst attending any Match and do not:

E20.1 use any words or otherwise behave in a way which is improper, offensive, violent, threatening, threatening, abusive, indecent, insulting or provocative ;

E20.2 conduct themselves in a manner prohibited by E20.1 in circumstances where that conduct is discriminatory in that it includes a reference, whether express or implied, to any one or more of ethnic origin, colour, race, nationality, religion or belief, gender, gender reassignment, sexual orientation or disability...”

12. Further, in respect of the Club and TTAC, the relevant section of FA Rule E21 states:

“E21 A Club must ensure that spectators and/or its supporters (and anyone purporting to be its supporters or followers) conduct themselves in an orderly fashion whilst attending any Match and do not:

E21.1 use words or otherwise behave in a way which is improper, offensive, violent, threatening, abusive, indecent, insulting or provocative;

E21.2 throw missiles or other potentially harmful or dangerous objects at or on to the pitch;

E21.3 encroach on to the pitch or commit any form of pitch incursion;

E21.4 conduct themselves in a manner prohibited by paragraph E21.1 in circumstances where that conduct is discriminatory in that it includes a reference, whether express or implied, to one or more of ethnic origin, colour, race, nationality, religion or belief, gender, gender reassignment, sexual orientation or disability.

13. London FA and The Amateur Football Alliance included with the charge letters the evidence that it intended to rely on in these cases which are being heard as a consolidated matter pursuant to Reg 13 of FA Disciplinary Regulations which provides that “where the subject matter of or facts relating to a Charge or Charges against one or more Participant(s) is sufficiently linked...The Association...shall have the power to consolidate proceedings so that they are conducted together...”
14. TP, CD, the Club and TTAC were required to respond to their respective charges by 22nd March 2023.

The Reply

15. Neither TP, CD nor the Club responded to their respective charges within the specified time and they fell to be determined as if they had all denied the same which would be determined in their absence at a Correspondence Hearing. On 17th March 2023, TTAC responded to the 6th and 7th charges and admitted the same, requesting that they be dealt with in their absence at a Correspondence Hearing. Within the bundle (page 84) the Club state that they do accept the “charge” but no other formal notification of this was made.

The Commission

16. The Football Association (“The FA”) appointed me, Karen Hall, as a Chairman member of National Serious Case Panel, to this Discipline Commission as the Chairman Sitting Alone to adjudicate in this case.

The Hearing & Evidence

17. I adjudicated this case on 28th March 2023 as a Consolidated Correspondence Hearing (the “Hearing”).
18. I had received and read the bundle of documents prior to the Hearing.
19. The following is a summary of the principal submissions provided to me. It does not purport to contain reference to all the points made, however the absence in these reasons of any particular point, or submission, should not imply that I did not take such point, or submission, into consideration when I determined the matter. For the avoidance of doubt, I have carefully considered all the evidence and materials furnished with regard to these cases.
20. The Match Referee, Ms Ibone Fernandez Gonzalez, provided a Report dated 19th February 2023 in which she states that in the 39th minute of the Match she awarded a throw in when a Club player and a TTAC player started pushing each other. One of the pushes made the TTAC player fall to the floor. Once on the floor the Club player started kicking and stamping the TTAC player. After that most of the players were there pushing between them. Then TP ran into the TTAC and “foot him in the head”. This caused a substantial laceration. She goes on to say that as most of the TTAC players were leaving they came to tell her that CD was threatening them with a bladed article. She did go to look for him but he had gone.
21. In response to further questions posed by London FA, Ms Fernandez Gonzalez confirmed that she the TTAC was already on the floor when TP arrived and that he jumped with two feet into

his head. She saw him running and jumping. She didn't see much of what happened afterwards as there was so much going on and everything happened so quickly.

22. Mr Les Davis, a Referee, provided an email Statement dated 9th March 2023 in which he states that he was due to referee a game after the conclusion of the Match. He was talking to one of his Managers when a scuffle broke out in the Match. He didn't see what caused this but states that it escalated quickly into a melee with almost all the players running over to the players involved. He didn't see any punches being thrown from where he was but did see members of both teams wrestling one another. He saw the goalkeeper from the team defending the goal he was standing behind and he seemed to "jump into the crowd". The goalkeeper also ended up on the floor. He then saw the goalkeeper stood up and many players attention and gestures turned to the goalkeeper. He noticed that the Referee was in the middle of the players and as there was a lot of shouting which was in an aggressive manner, he was a little worried for her safety so he went onto the pitch to try and support her in restoring some sort of order. As he made his way over, he saw a couple of players being led away from the melee. He noticed numerous people coming onto the pitch that were not wearing training kit or football kits and they were now getting involved in the scuffling and arguing, swearing and pointing towards certain players. He went to check the Referee who looked a little shocked. It took several minutes for the crowd of players to calm down enough for the teams to be separated and players started to walk towards the car park.
23. TTAC provided an undated Club Statement in which they state that one of their player required 11 staples to a 3 inch laceration following the incident in the Match. He also needed brain scans following symptoms of drowsiness, confusion, memory loss, weakness of the legs, blurred vision and slurred speech. The Statement describes their player has having been kicked in the head by TP (the Club goalkeeper) whilst laying on the ground being physically restrained. A Club player stated that he was going to "get his machete" from his car. When he returned he was wielding a bag and threatened to stab the remaining team. The Club Manager removed him from the pitch. There are further comments attached to this Statement from unidentified witnesses, the contents of which I have noted.
24. Mr Bradley Winzar, TTAC Club Secretary, provided a Statement dated 21st February 2023 in which he states that he was on the bench across the field from the Match when he saw a TTAC player being pulled around and players rushing towards him. He rushed over and as he did so he saw the Club goalkeeper run towards the group and dive with both feet at the head of the TTAC player who was on the floor. When he arrived at the group he was unable to see much but was pulling people away from the TTAC player. He heard talk of knives and machetes but did not see anything.
25. Mr Daniel Day, TTAC Manager, provided a Statement dated 22nd February 2023 in which he states that there was some pushing and shoving between a TTAC player and a Club player. In the mist of this he sees the Club goalkeeper come running from his goal and launch his body with both feet and fly at the TTAC player who was by this time on the floor. He kicked the player in the head. This led to all the players being on the pitch. He went to speak to the goalkeeper who by this time had 3/4 players around him pulling him away as he tried to attack another TTAC player. He subsequently spoke with the Referee and agreed that the match should be abandoned. As he headed back to the dugout he heard a Club player say "you said you're going to stab me I stab you first". He says the player was clutching a black and blue Nike drawstring bag with a knife blade hanging out the bottom. He called the Club Manager to remove the player and this eventually happened. The Club Manager was adamant that the player was not carrying a knife and said he would confirm this with a photo but this did not appear.

26. Mr Danny Holden, a spectator, provided a Statement dated 22nd February 2023 in which he states that he was waiting for the Match to finish as is child's game was next on the pitch. He noticed a tussle between two players after a bad tackle and the ball going out of play. The two players continued to wrestle. The rest of the players and managers invaded the pitch and ran over and it became a mix of people trying to stop it and some adding to the pushing and shoving. A TTAC player fell to the ground in the scrum. He then goes on to say that he saw the Club goalkeeper run at pace towards the pack of people and launched himself two footed into the head of the downed player. He states that it was "horrific" to watch. The goalkeeper had to be dragged away. He was aware of a separate incident in which a Club player was shouting to another "what you doing bro, there's kids, there's families, go back, go back". He says another Club player had come from the car park with a weapon in his hand partly concealed by a silky bag. After talking to his team mates he left for the car park.
27. Mr Jack Staurt, a TTAC player, provided a Statement dated 21st February 2023 in which he states that he accepts that his challenge to get the ball from a Club player was a little late. The Club player was unhappy with the challenge and started walking aggressively towards him. He pushed him away to stop him getting too close. He then charged at him with a push and they then "had a grapple". They both fell to the floor. As he got free of the grip he turned his head and saw three Club players running towards him while he lay on the floor. He covered up as best he could and felt two kicks, one to his body and one to his head. Then he felt "an almighty thump on the top of his head". He managed to wiggle to safety and found his hands were covered in blood from clutching his head. He was given a shirt to wrap around his head and then a bandage before being taken to hospital. He states that he has a 7cm laceration across his head which required 11 staples. This will scar.
28. Mr Neil Winzar, TTAC Club Treasurer, provided a Statement dated 21st February 2023 in which he states that he saw a TTAC and a Club player fighting on the floor following a tackle from the TTAC player. Other Club players joined in. He then saw the Club goalkeeper run towards the group and spear kicked at the head of the TTAC player who was on the floor. Once everything had calmed down another Club player went to his car to get a knife which was wrapped in a bag. The knife was not shown but he said that he was going to stab a few TTAC players. The Club Manager calmed him down and took him away.
29. Mr Rickie Martin, TTAC player, provided a Statement dated 21st February 2023 in which he states that he was being sent off for dissent when he saw a TTAC and a Club player fighting. As he walked towards this, he saw the Club goalkeeper run towards the group and double foot kick at the head of the TTAC player who was on the floor. He was aware of talk that 1 or 2 Club players had a knife and machete.
30. Mr Paul Sandi, a spectator, provided a Statement dated 22nd February 2023 in which he states that he was waiting for the Match to finish as is child's game was next on the pitch. He describes an underlying tension in the Match. He noticed two players had each other in a head lock. The rest of the players piled in and punches were being thrown at anyone and everyone. A TTAC player fell to the ground in the scrum. He then goes on to say that he saw the Club goalkeeper run out of his goal and jump feet first into the head of a TTAC player who was on the ground. He notes the significant injury caused. He heard conversations about Club players talking about getting weapons from their cars with one player having a bag wrapped around "something".
31. Mr Sam Noller, TTAC player, provided a Statement dated 21st February 2023 in which he states that he was aware of a tussle between a TTAC player and a Club player. After a bit of pushing and shoving the Club player threw 2/3 punches at the TTAC player who then put him in a head

lock. The Club player managed to throw the TTAC player to the floor and then he stamped on him. He ran over to try and get to the TTAC player. As he did so he was headbutted by the Club assistant referee. He tried to push him away and there was more pushing and shoving. He was then aware that the TTAC player on the floor had a large gash to his head and was covered in blood. He went to get his bag when he saw the assistant referee who had head butted him. He states that he was holding a draw string bag with what appeared to be a knife in it and was threatening to stab people. He told the TTAC players and spectators to stay by the dugout until the Club player had left.

32. Mr Scott Dean, TTAC player, provided a Statement dated 21st February 2023 in which he states that he saw a tackle between a TTAC player and a Club player. This resulted in a bit of pushing and shoving the TTAC player ended up on the floor. He noticed other Club players descending on the two so went to help. As he did, he saw a TTAC being headbutted by the Club assistant referee. He pushed him away from the TTAC player. He was then aware that the TTAC player on the floor had a large gash to his head and was covered in blood. He then heard numerous shouts of “he’s got a knife” at which point the TTAC players retreated to the side of the pitch.
33. Mr Russell Field, TTAC player, provided an undated Statement in which he states that he was on the bench when he saw an altercation between a TTAC player and a Club player which escalated very quickly as the TTAC player was punched and kicked by the Club player. He saw the Club goalkeeper sprint towards the TTAC player on the floor and two footed lunge at his head causing a serious injury. He then heard that a Club player had gone to get a knife from his car.
34. Mr Joe Martin, TTAC player, provided a Statement dated 22nd February 2023 in which he states that he saw a challenge between a TTAC player and a Club player which escalated very quickly as the TTAC player ended up on the floor. He saw the Club goalkeeper run towards the TTAC player on the floor and double footed him in the head causing a serious injury. He states another Club player had a knife and was “charging at them”.
35. Mr Joshua Moriarty, TTAC player, provided a Statement dated 22nd February 2023 in which he states that didn’t see the challenge that led to an exchange of punches between a TTAC player and a Club player which resulted in the TTAC player being on the floor. Both teams began moving towards the players to break it up when the Club goalkeeper sprinted towards the TTAC player on the floor and kicked him in the head causing a serious injury. He states another Club player left the pitch and returned holding a bag, claiming it had a knife in it and he was going to stab people. This player was restrained and removed to the changing rooms.
36. Kerry Lynch, a spectator, provided a Statement dated 22nd February 2023 in which they state that they saw players fighting and there were players trying to stop others getting involved and someone on the ground being punched. They saw a goalkeeper run out of his goal start kicking the head of a player who was on the ground.
37. Within the bundle is a set of photographs clearly showing a significant laceration to the top of a head, coupled with 11 staples by way of treatment. In addition, the hospital ED summary has been disclosed which confirms the trauma occurred as a result of a being hit by a foot whilst lying on the ground.
38. Ms Caroline Nicholson, Club Secretary, provided a Statement dated 20th February 2023 in which she states that the Match got out of hand when the TTAC players became aggressive. There was a scuffle between a Club player and a TTAC player when the later grabbed the Club player around the throat. The scuffle had both sets of teams defending their players and things escalated quickly. The Club Manager had to step in to stop the scuffle. She goes on to say that a

TTAC player stood up with a horrific cut to his head sustained when the Club goalkeeper ran across the pitch and slipped which resulted in him accidentally kicking the head of the TTAC player. She makes allegations of racial comments being made but these are not the subject of any charge before the Hearing.

39. Mr Jake Amor, a Club player, provided a Statement dated 20th February 2023 in which he states that a TTAC player grabbed him by the throat and strangled him after a tackle. He panicked and pushed him with some force to the floor in self defence. The TTAC player kicked and punched him and he sees an army of TTAC players run across the pitch and his player come to defend him. He was surrounded by twenty players including spectators with punches being thrown. The keeper ran screaming “boys leave it we are about to win” but he slips and catches the TTAC player with his boots. He apologised immediately but the TTAC players are even more angry and threaten to slit his throat. The Manager pushes the Club players away from the incident.
40. Mr Jermaine Lovell, the Club Manager, provided a Statement dated 20th February 2023 in which he states that a TTAC player grabbed a Club player by the throat when a decision didn’t go his way. The TTAC and Club player end up in a scuffle and the TTAC side line ran on to the pitch throwing punches. The TTAC player was on the ground and Mr Lovall was trying to pull his players away. He was then aware that the TTAC player had suffered a cut to the head, which he did not see happen.
41. Mr Rob Amor, a Club spectator, provided a Statement dated 20th February 2023 in which he states that a TTAC player grabbed his son by the throat and strangled him after a tackle. There was then a scuffle with the TTAC players throwing punches. A TTAC player ended up on the floor having been accidentally hit and he was curled up with both teams around him pushing and shoving.
42. That concluded relevant evidence in this case.

Standard of Proof

43. The applicable standard of proof required for this case is the civil standard of the balance of probability. This standard means, I would be satisfied that an event occurred if I considered that, on the evidence, it was more likely than not to have happened.

The Findings & Decision

44. It was noted that neither TP, CD nor the Club had responded to their charges, which had been determined as if they had denied the same. TTAC had accepted their charges and credit would therefore be given for the guilty pleas.
45. With regards to TP and the 1st charge, I find on the basis of the substantial evidence above that he ran towards the TTAC player who was lying defenceless on the ground and in a wholly unprovoked attack, kicked him in the head with enough force to cause a substantial laceration that required 11 staples. The player will undoubtedly be left with significant scarring as a result. I found that this was Assault Participant on Participant, contrary to FA Rule E3.1, and the charge is PROVEN.
46. In respect of CD I have to be satisfied that it is more likely than not that he threaten to stab opposition players and was carrying a knife or similar. There is no physical evidence of a knife or machete as was mentioned in the written Statements. However, I note the plethora of Statements which confirm that threats were made to the TTAC players of “I’ll stab you” or similar and therefore on this evidence I found that in respect of the 3rd Charge of improper

conduct (including violent conduct and threatening and/or abusive language/behaviour) the charge was PROVEN.

47. In respect of the Club, and the 4th Charge, I note on the basis of the significant evidence above, after the 1st tackle involving a TTAC player and a Club player, other Club players became part of what has been described as a “melee”. Therefore, the charge of misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E20.1 – Failed to ensure that directors, players, officials, employees, servants, representatives conducted themselves in an orderly fashion, was PROVEN.
48. In respect of the Club and the 5th Charge, Mr Davis states that many people from both teams ran on to the pitch. This is confirmed by Mr Amor, a Club player. Therefore, on the evidence before the hearing in respect of the charge with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E21 – Failed to ensure Spectators conducted themselves in an orderly fashion, in consideration of all of the evidence, I find the charge PROVEN.
49. In respect of TTAC and the 6th Charge, they had admitted the same and on the basis that liability was predetermined by the guilty plea, I found the charge of misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E20.1 – Failed to ensure that directors, players, officials, employees, servants, representatives conducted themselves in an orderly fashion, PROVEN.
50. In respect of TTAC and the 7th Charge, on the evidence before the Hearing and the guilty plea, in respect of the charge with misconduct for a breach of FA Rule E21 – Failed to ensure Spectators conducted themselves in an orderly fashion, the charge is admitted and in consideration of all of the undisputed evidence, I find the charge PROVEN.

Previous Disciplinary Record

51. After finding the charges proven, I sought the participants offence histories. I note that TP has no previous misconduct charges in the preceding five years. I also note that CD has no previous misconduct charges in the preceding five years. I note that the Club has previous misconduct charges in respect of a breach of FA Rule E20 in November 2020 and June 2021. I note that TTAC has no previous misconduct charges within the preceding five years.

Mitigation

52. There is no mitigation within the bundle from TP, CD, the Club or TTAC.

53. The Sanction

54. In respect of TP, the relevant FA Disciplinary Regulations on sanction (Regulation 107) states that in respect of this charge a sanction of a suspension from all football activities for a period of between 140 days and 5 years.
55. I note that the force of the unprovoked assault caused a significant laceration to the head requiring 11 staples. The victim of this assault will be left with a permanent scar. TP has not offered any apology for his actions. I do note the previous good disciplinary record. However, I found that the seriousness of the actions and the apparent lack of remorse aggravated the scale of the sanction. I concluded that an immediate suspension of 5 years would be an appropriate and proportionate sanction in this case.
56. After taking into consideration all circumstances in this case, Mr Ty Prescott is:
 - 56.1. to serve an immediate suspension from all football and football activities for 5 (five) years commencing on 15th March 2023;

- 56.2. fined the sum of £150 (one hundred and fifty pounds); and
- 56.3. 10 (ten) Club Disciplinary Points to be recorded.
57. In respect CD and the 3rd Charge, the relevant FA Disciplinary Regulations on sanction state that in respect of this charge a sanction of a suspension from all football activities for a period of between 1 and 10 matches together with a fine of up to £125.
58. After taking into consideration all circumstances in this case, in particular repeated threats made, but noting CD previous history, Mr Celio Dias is:
- 58.1. to serve an immediate suspension from all football and football activities for 7 (seven) matches;
- 58.2. fined a sum of £90 (ninety pounds); and
- 58.3. 8 (eight) Club Disciplinary Points to be recorded.
59. In respect of the Club and the 4th Charge, the relevant FA Disciplinary Regulations on sanction states that the guidelines for a breach of FA Rule E20.1 is a fine between £0 - £300.
60. After taking into consideration all circumstances in this case, in particular the fact that conduct of the players contributed to the abandonment of the Match, the Club is:
- 60.1. fined a sum of £200 (two hundred pounds);
61. In respect of the Club and the 5th Charge, the relevant FA Disciplinary Regulations on sanction states that the guidelines for a breach of FA Rule E21.1 is a fine between £0 - £300.
62. After taking into consideration all circumstances in this case, in particular the fact that conduct of the spectators contributed to the abandonment of the Match, the Club is:
- 62.1. fined a further sum of £100 (one hundred pounds);
63. In respect of TTAC and the 6th Charge, the relevant FA Disciplinary Regulations on sanction states that the guidelines for a breach of FA Rule E20.1 is a fine between £0 - £300.
64. After taking into consideration all circumstances in this case and the previous record of TTAC, noting in particular the fact that conduct of the players contributed to the abandonment of the Match, TTAC is:
- 64.1. fined a sum of £175 (one hundred and seventy five pounds);
65. In respect of TTAC and the 7th Charge, the relevant FA Disciplinary Regulations on sanction states that the guidelines for a breach of FA Rule E21.1 is a fine between £0 - £300.
66. After taking into consideration all circumstances in this case, and the previous record of TTAC, noting in particular the fact that spectators contributed to the abandonment of the Match, TTAC is:
- 66.1. fined a further sum of £100 (one hundred pounds);
67. The decision is subject to the right of appeal under the relevant FA Rules and Regulations.

Signed...

Karen Hall F.C.Inst.L.Ex (Chairman)

28th March 2023.