In the Matter of Rob Tolfrey (Kingstonian FC)

Reasons for Regulatory Commission Decision

Wednesday 15th April 2014

- 1. These are the written reasons for an FA Regulatory Commission decision made on Wednesday 15th April 2015.
- 2. The Regulatory Commission members were Mr. G Thompson, Mr. RG Pawley and Mr. G Mabbutt.
- 3. Mr. M. Ives the Football Association's Head of Judicial Services acted as Secretary to the Commission.
- 4. Mr. Tolfrey had been charged by the Football Association with a breach of FA Rule E3 in that it was alleged that his behaviour after the match in that he entered the stand and confronted a spectator amounted to improper conduct.
- 5. The incident happened at the conclusion of the match on 23rd February 2015 in the Isthmian Premier League fixture Kingstonian FC v Bognor Regis Town FC
- 6. The FA had designated this as a Non Standard Charge due to the unusual nature of the incident.
- 7. By his form of acknowledgment, dated the 24th March 2015, Mr. Tolfrey admitted the charge but did not request an opportunity to attend before a Commission for a Personal Hearing and understood that the charge would be dealt with at a paper hearing on the content of the documents served upon him and the response from the Club Secretary Karen Muir dated 24th March 2015.

- 8. The Regulatory Commission had before them the following evidence/mitigation;
 - (1) Report of the Match Referee, Mr N Davies, dated 24 February 2015
 - (2) Letter from Mr T Shamel of The FA Regulation Team to Miss K Muir, Club Secretary of Kingstonian FC, dated 24 February 2015
 - (3) Letter from Miss K Muir to Mr T Shamel dated 8th March 2015
 - (4) Letter from Mr T Shamel to Mr S Cook, Secretary, Bognor Regis Town FC, dated 24 February 2015;
 - (5) Letter from Mr S Cook to Mr T Shamel dated 2nd March 2015;
 - (6) Video clips of the incident and
 - (7) Plea in Mitigation from Miss K Muir dated 24th March 2015.
- 9. As this was a plea in mitigation, the Secretary confirmed that there was no previous record for Mr Tolfrey.
- 10. The video clearly shows Mr Tolfrey entering the stands and starting a confrontation where two spectators were standing. It is unclear whether the concern was with just one spectator or both but that did not have any effect in the final sanction.
- 11. As a result of Mr Tolfrey's altercation several players came to "assist" and this then escalated into further disruption between players from both sides.

Sanction and Mitigation

- 12. In considering sanction and mitigation the Commission recognized Mr Tolfreys previous clean record.
- 13. The Commission found it unacceptable no matter what the provocation for a player to climb into the crowd and become involved in an altercation which is clearly an aggravating factor.

- 14. The Commission consider this to be a serious act and that the sanction should recognize the seriousness of the misconduct.
- 15. The Commission originally considered a 10 match suspension but took into consideration the guilty plea and the previous record and reduced the sanction to 7 matches.
- 16. In considering the financial penalty the Commission considered Mr Tolfrey's football income and ordered that he be fined the sum of £375.
- 17. Mr Tolfrey was also warned as to his future conduct.
- 18. There is a right of appeal against this decision.

Geoff Thompson (Chairman)

17th April 2015